IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 334 OF 2007

MR. JOAO ERNEST VALLES VAN DUNEM
THROUGH ATTORNEY MRS. MARIA ALINA
DE SOUZA SALDANHA ... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF GOA THROUGH CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 2 ORS., ... Respondents

Mr. M. B. Da Costa, Senior Advocate with Mr. J. Abreu Lobo,Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate General with Ms. L. Dharwadkar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. A. F. Diniz, Advocate for the respondent No.3.

Coram:- R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR &
R. S. MOHITE, JJ.

Date:- 16th August, 2007

P.C.

The learned Advocate General states that there were some lapses on the part of concerned authorities and reference application was not referred to the concerned District Court for considerable time. However, same has already been referred for necessary adjudication in terms of Section 18 of Land Acquisition Act of 1894. On such statement being made, the petitioner craves leave to withdraw the petition. While accepting the said statement, petition is allowed to be withdrawn. Considering the delay caused in referring the matter, we find it appropriate to observe that the reference Court should make every endeavor to dispose of the reference made in the matter as early as possible and preferably by 31st March, 2008.

R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.

R. S. MOHITE, J.

SMA